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#### Abstract

This paper examines the differences between male and female participation and achievements in academic and non academic activities in the University of Peradeniya. The paper will focus on six areas a) the changing pattern of female student enrolment in subjects and fields of study, b) gender-wise academic achievements, c) participation of female students in extra curricular activities, d) the various aspects to the residential life of female students, e) female representation in different levels of academic staff, their promotional prospects and the contribution made by them to academic activities, f) the problems faced by female students as well as female teachers.


## Introduction

"Education is widely accepted to be the key to human progress. It is the 'first window of change', especially for women. It enables them to take on more independent and varied roles. Education encounters less resistance from the traditional minded than do most other catalysts. Unlike employment outside the home, or political participation, education for women is almost universally valued perhaps because education is seen both as a productive investment and as a consumer good" (Newland 1979).

Especially after independence, Sri Lanka placed great emphasis on education and expected that the expansion of education would bring about greater equality in society. In recent decades, women's education has been applauded as the most significant factor in achieving the socioeconomic capability of women in the country. It is in this regard that the participation of women in higher education has become important and access to a University is considered to be an important means both of vocational and social mobility.

The University of Peradeniya, the oldest residential University in the country has seven Faculties and extensive facilities compared with the other universities in the island.(Gunawardane 1992, Golden Jubilee Souvenir 1992, Silva 1995). Although women enjoy equality in the education there is a difference between male and female participation and achievements in academic as well as non academic activities at the University of Peradeniya.

The study will investigate a) the changing pattern of female student enrolment according to their major fields, b) gender-wise academic achievements, c) participation of female students in extra-curricular activities, d) various facets of residential life of female students, e) female representation at all levels of the academic staff, their promotional prospects, and the contributions made by them to academic activities, f) the problems faced by female students as well as female staff members, and suggestion to improve the present system.

## Changing Pattern of Female Student Enrolment

The University of Ceylon created in 1942 provided equal access to both sexes. At the outset it had four Faculties, with 904 students, imcluding 91 female students, reading for the B.A., B.Sc. and M.B.B.S. degrees. These female students constituted $10.1 \%$ of the total enrolment. Of them 19 were in the Faculty of Medicine. Free education introduced in 1945 opened the doors of the University to more women as well as men. Women's numbers increased to 480 or $21.8 \%$ of the total enrolment in 1952, when the separate Campus at Peradeniya was established. In the early sixties, the percentage of women increased to $44.5 \%$ as a result of the adoption of the two national languages (Sinhala and Tamil) as the media of instruction at the secondary school level in 1958. After the mid 1960's, the percentage of women's enrolment began to decrease. This fluctuation was a result of two factors, viz. the policy of equal access to University education, accepted since the inception of University education, was ignored during the years 1959 to 1965 and that policy was restored from 1966 by the National Council of Higher Education (Jayaweera 1979). However, it did not go below $33 \%$. It was $33.5 \%$ in 1985. After that it has fluctuated between $33-42 \%$. (Table I).

The increased participation of women in Peradeniya has not been restricted to women of one ethnic group. While the percentage of Sinhalese women in the University increased from $23.9 \%$ in 1952 to $35.6 \%$ in 1986, the increase of Tamil women was equally spectacular from $17.8 \%$ in 1952 to $25.1 \%$ in 1986. In 1962 the percentage of Tamil women was $43.1 \%$ and in 1978 it was $34.4 \%$. In 1962 and in 1978 it jumped over the percentage of Sinhalese women (Table II). After the 1980's a decrease of the percentage of Sinhalese as well as Tamil women can be seen. The decrease of percentage of Tamil women may be due to the introduction of new university enrolment policies, such as standardisation and district basis system and the establishment of the Jaffin University. However the reasons for the decline in of the percentage of Sinhalese women are not very clear. The incorporation of the Institute of Technology, Colombo with its male dominant student population into the University system, the introduction of management studies and "job oriented courses" with their gender role connotations (United Nations 1979) may have reduced the number of Sinhalese and Tamil women admitted to Peradeniya University during this period.

After the 1970's the representation of Burghers, men as well as women has become invisible. This was the result of the decrease of their numbers in the total population in the country, due to their migration to foreign countries. Most noteworthy, however, was the increase of Muslim women, from $7.6 \%$ in 1952 to $44.93 \%$ in 1990 . This must be a result of the fact that business rather than professional studies tended to attract Muslims in general and secondly, that the practice of the purdah reduced the number of women students entering universities until early 1980's. However, it can be seen that recently such attitudes are being replaced by more positive attitudes towards the education of Muslim women. (Gunawardana 1989). Similarly, the entering of Muslim students from Eastern (Batticaloa) University to Peradeniya also had effects on this increase. Thus the proportion of female enrolment in the total student population increased consistently and at a rapid rate till the mid 1970's. Since then the increase has been so gradual that it has become almost stagnant. However, the pattern of increase in women's enrolment in Peradeniya is similar to the world pattern, as noted by a report of United Nations.
"Increasingly, women are enrolling in universities. In developed regions, as well as in some countries of Southern Africa and Western Asia, the numbers of women and men in higher education have become nearly equal" (United Nations 1991).

Table I. Students percentage distribution of the University of Ceylon/Peradeniya by sex 1942-90

| University of Ceylon (Total number) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Male | Female | Total | Female |
| 1942 | 813 | 91 | 904 | 10.1 |
| 1943 | 800 | 104 | 904 | 11.5 |
| 1944 | 874 | 122 | 996 | 12.2 |
| 1945 | 932 | 133 | 1065 | 12.5 |
| 1946 | 1124 | 178 | 1302 | 13.7 |
| 1947 | 1312 | 242 | 1554 | 15.6 |
| 1948 | 1335 | 277 | 1612 | 17.2 |
| 1949 | 1519 | 325 | 1844 | 17.6 |
| 1950 | 1655 | 381 | 2036 | 18.7 |
| 1951 | 1761 | 449 | 2210 | 20.3 |
| 1952. | 1752 | 480 | 2232 | 127.4 |
| University of Ceylon - Peradeniya |  |  |  |  |
| 1953 | 571 | 360 | 931 | 39.5 |
| 1954 | 600 | 368 | 968 | 38.0 |
| 1955 | 623 | 374 | 997 | 37.6 |
| 1956 | 658 | 379 | 1037 | 36.5 |
| 1957 | 713 | 442 | 1155 | 38.3 |
| 1958 | 778 | 544 | 1322 | 41.1 |
| 1959 | 821 | 643 | 1464 | 43.9 |
| 1961 | 1462 | 1174 | 2636 | - 44. |
| 1962 | 1831 | 1135 | 3184 | 42.6 |
| 1963 | 1847 | 1307 | 3154 | 41.4 |
| 1964 | 2615 | 1677 | 4292 | 39.1 |
| University of Ceylon (Total number) |  |  |  |  |
| 1965 | 3124 | 1944 | 5068 | 38.4 |
| 1966 | 3376 | 1910 | . 5286 | 36.1 |
| 1967 | 3360 | 2008 | 5368 | 37.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| New admissions only - University of Peradeniya |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 664 | 422 | 1086 | 38.8 |
| 1975 | 749 | 496 | 1246 | 39.8 |
| 1976 | 727 | 526 | 1253 | 41.9 |
| 1977 | 860 | 566 | 1426 | 39.7 |
| 1978 | 850 | 554 | 1404 | 39.5 |
| 1979 | 857 | 511 | 1368 | 37.4 |
| 1980 | 823 | 578 | 1401 | 41.3 |
| 1981 | 902 | 552 | 1454 | 37.8 |
| 1983 | 805 | 435 | 1240 | -35.1 |
| 1984 | 785 | 394 | 1179 | 33.4 |
| 1985 | 787 | 435 | 1222 | 35.6 |
| 1986 | 844 | 430 | 1274 | 33.6 |
| 1987 | 782 | 480 | 1262 | 38.0 |
| 1988 | 788 | 472 | 1260 | 37.5 |
| 1989 | 908 | 503 | 1411 | 35.5 |
| 1990 | 1014 | 648 | 1662 | 39.0 |

Source - Annual report of the council, University of Ceyion/ Peradeniya, respective years.

Table II. Student enrolment by ethnic group in the University of Peradeniya 1952-86

| ETHNIC GROUP | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | T | F \% |  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | T | F \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SINHALA | 1017 | 321 | 1338 | 23.9 |  | 1133 | 498 | 1631 | 30.5 |
| TAMIL | 595 | 129 | 724 | 17.8 |  | 751 | 193 | 954 | 20.2 |
| BURGHER | 50 | 17 | 67 | 25.3 |  | 40 | 15 | 55 | 27.2 |
| MOOR \& MALAY | 36 |  | 39 | 7.6 |  | 44 | 10 | 54 | 18.5 |
| OTHERS | 24 | 10 | 4 | 29.4 |  | 22 | 12 | 4 | 35.3 |
| TOTAL | 1722 | 480 | 2202 | 21.8 |  | 1990 | 728 | 2718 | 26.8 |
|  | 1962 |  |  |  |  | 1967 |  |  |  |
| SINHALA | 1497 | 1128 | 2625 | 42.9 |  | 2132 | 1417 | 3549 | 39.9 |
| TAMIL | 276 | 209 | 485 | 43.1 |  | 1015 | 519 | 1534 | 33.8 |
| BURGHER | 15 | 5 | 20 | 25.0 |  | 75 | 20 | 95 | 21.1 |
| MOOR \& MALAY | 35 | 5 | 40 | 12.5 |  | 133 | 50 | 183 | 27.3 |
| OTHERS | 8 | 6 | 14 | 42.8 |  | 5 | 2 | 7 | 28.5 |
| TOTAL | 1831 | 1353 | 3184 | 42.5 |  | 3360 | 2008 | 5368 | 37.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1978 |  |  |  |  | 1982 |  |  |  |
| SINHALA | 2034 | 1029 | 3063 | 33.6 |  | 2159 | 1461 | 3620 | 40.4 |
| TAMLL | 577 | 301 | 878 | 34.3 |  | 845 | 398 | 1243 | 32.0 |
| BURGHER | 4 | 2 | 6 | 33.3 |  | 5 | 8 | 13 | 61.5 |
| MOOR \& MALAY | 77 | 22 | 99 | 22.2 |  | 245 | 132 | 377 | 35.0 |
| OTHERS | 12 | 10 | 22 | 45.5 |  | 5 | 4 | 9 | 44.4 |
| TOTAL | 2704 | 1364 | 4068 | 33.5 |  | 3259 | 2003 | 5262 | 38.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1986 |  |  |  |  | 1990 |  |  |  |
| SINHALA | 2026 | 1122 | 3148 | 35.6 | 2682 | 1455 | 4137 | 35.17 |  |
| TAMLL | 570 | 191 | 761 | 25.1 | 636 | 258 | 894 | 28.85 |  |
| BURGHER |  | 2 | 2 | 100 |  | 1 | 5 | 6 | 83.35 |
| MOOR \& MALAY | 299 | 157 | 456 | 34.4 | 593 | 484 | 1077 | 44.93 |  |
| OTHERS | 33 | 3 | 36 | 8.3 |  | 40 | 6 | 46 | 13.04 |
| TOTAL | 2928 | 1475 | 44.3 | 33.5 |  | 3952 | 2208 | 6160 | 35.84 |

Source -Annual reports of the University of Peradeniya-respective years.
The pattern of distribution of female students by Faculties appeared to have changed very little until the early sixties. Table III shows that the increase of women in total enrolment was largely due to their increased participation in the Arts, Medicine and Dental science. These courses, prepare women for entry into fields traditionally considered "suitable for women", that is teaching, medicine and allied occupations. Gender imbalances were seen in admissions in science courses even in the early 1970's. Women were especially under-represented in Engineering, Agriculture and Veterinary Science. In the late 1960's the admissions of women to the science faculty started increasing from $15.9 \%$ in 1963 to $33.2 \%$ in 1967. In the early 1980 's the percentage of women in Agriculture and Veterinary Science too began to increase and in 1987 percentages reached 41.4\% and $48.8 \%$ respectively. In 1990 the percentage of women in the Faculty of Veterinary Science had gone above the $50 \%$ mark.

Table III. New admissions to University of Ceylon (later University of Peradeniya) by Faculties \& sex 1952-1990

| 1951-1952 FACULTY | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | FEMALE \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARTS | 150 | 99 | 249 | 39.7 |
| LAW | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| SCIENCE | 78 | 16 | 94 | 17.4 |
| AGRICULTURE | 9 | 0 | 09 | 0 |
| ENGINEERING | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| MEDICINE | 95 | 24 | 119 | 20.2 |
| VET. SCIENCE | 5 | 0 | 05 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 369 | 139 | 508 | 27.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952-1953 |  |  |  |  |
| ARTS | 152 | 104 | 256 | 40.6 |
| LAW | 08 | 0 | 08 | 0 |
| SCIENCE | 82 | 20 | 102 | 19.6 |
| AGRICULTURE | 03 | 0 | 03 | 0 |
| ENGINEERING | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| MEDICINE | 98 | 25 | 123 | 20.3 |
| VET SCIENCE | 06 | 0 | 06 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 371 | 149 | 520 | 28.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955-1956 |  |  |  |  |
| ARTS | 170 | 114 | 284 | 40.1 |
| LAW | 19 | 0 | 09 | 0 |
| SCIENCE | 141 | 30 | 171 | 17.5 |
| AGRICULTURE | 14 | 01 | 05 | 20 |
| ENGINEERING | 60 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| MEDICINE | 100 | 21 | 121 | 17.4 |
| VET SCIENCE | 08 | 0 | 08 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 492 | 166 | 658 | 25.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1959-1960 | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | FEMALE \% |
| ARTS | 349 | 298 | 647 | 46.1 |
| LAW | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SCIENCE | 165 | 37 | 202 | 18.3 |
| AGRICULTURE | 09 | 0 | 9 | 01 |
| ENGINEERING | 67 | 01 | 68 | 1.5 |
| MEDICINE | 96 | 33 | 129 | 25.6 |
| VET SCIENCE | 08 | 0 | 08 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 695 | 369 | 1064 | 34.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963-1964 |  |  |  |  |
| ARTS | 317 | 369 | 686 | 53.8 |
| EAW | 13 | 2 | 15 | 13.3 |
| SCIENCE | 184 | 35 | 219 | 15.9 |
| AGRICULTURE | 16 | 1 | 17 | 5.8 |
| NGNEERING | 135 | 1 | 136 | 0.01 |
| TIEDICINE | 126 | 34 | 160 | 21.2 |
| WENTAL SCIENCE | 16 | 7 | 23 | 30.4 |
| VET SCIENCE | 11 | 2 | 13 | 15.4 |
| MTAL | 818 | 550 | 1368 | 40.2 |
| 667 |  |  |  |  |
| TRTS | 333 | 334 | 667 | 50.1 |
| CIENCE | 138 | 39 | 117 | 33.3 |
| ORICULTURE | 27 | 02 | 29 | 6.8 |


| ENGINEERING | 145 | 05 | 150 | 3.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEDICINE | 45 | 31 | 76 | 40.8 |
| DENTAL SCIENCE | 12 | 14 | 26 | 53.8 |
| VET SCIENCE | 17 | 4 | 21 | 19.0 |
| TOTAL | 717 | 429 | 1146 | 37.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977-78 |  |  |  |  |
| ARTS | 327 | 384 | 711 | 54.0 |
| SCIENCE | 128 | 68 | 196 | 34.7 |
| AGRICULTURE | 80 | 25 | 105 | 23. |
| ENGINEERING | 232 | 18 | 250 | 7.2 |
| MEDICINE | 93 | 71 | 164 | 43.3 |
| DENTAL SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |
| VET SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 860 | 566 | 1426 | 39.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-82 |  |  |  |  |
| FACULTY | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | FEMALE \% |
| ARTS | 338 | 253 | 591 | 42.8 |
| SCIENCE | 133 | 47 | 180 | 26.1 |
| AGRICULTURE | 85 | 33 | 118 | 27.9 |
| ENGINEERING | 222 | 29 | 251 | 11.5 |
| MEDICINE | 1 | 78 | 18.9 | 41.2 |
| DENTAL SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |
| VET SCIENCE | 13 | 2 | 25 | 48 |
| TOTAL | 902 | 452 | 1354 | 33.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984-85 |  |  |  |  |
| ARTS | 230 | 190 | 420 | 45.2 |
| SCIENCE | 113 | 62 | 175 | 35.4 |
| AGRICULTURE | 95 | 33 | 128 | 25.7 |
| ENGINEERING | 230 | 22 | 252 | 8.7 |
| MEDICINE \& DENTAL | 99 | 73 | 172 | 42.4 |
| VET SCIENCE | 18 | 14 | 32 | 43.7 |
| TOTAL | 785 | 394 | 179 | 33.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987-88 |  |  |  |  |
| ARTS | 192 | 195 | 387 | 50.4 |
| SCIENCE | 123 | 59 | 182 | 32.4 |
| AGRICULTURE | 102 | 72 | 174 | 41.4 |
| ENGINEERING | 247 | 36 | 283 | 12.7 |
| MEDICINE \& DENTAL | 96 | 97 | 193 | 50.1 |
| VET SCIENCE | 22 | 21 | 43 | 48.8 |
| TOTAL | 782 | 480 | 1262 | 38.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990-91 |  |  |  |  |
| ARTS | 345 | 302 | 647 | 46.6 |
| SCIENCE | 117 | 95 | 212 | 44.8 |
| AGRICULTURE | 106 | 85 | 191 | 44.5 |
| ENGINEERING | 270 | 19 | 289 | 6.5 |
| MEDICINE \& DENTAL | 156 | 118 | 274 | 43.0 |
| VET SCIENCE | 20 | 29 | 49 | 59.2 |
| TOTAL | 1014 | 648 | 1662 | 38.9 |

Source - Data collected from annual reports of University of (Ceylon) Peradeniya of respective years

The Fngineering Faculty was completely male dominated until 1964, and in that year one female student was admitted to the faculty. The percentage of women in Engineering has been increasing very slowly and it came up to $12.7 \%$ in 1987. But it decreased to $6.5 \%$ in 1990 . Thus, the proportion of women in each Faculty has increased. though there is a variation in enrolment by Faculty. However. what is significant is that the proportion of women contmues to be lower in all Facuities, except on rare occasions. in the Faculty of Arts, Dental Science and Veterinary Science. In 1990, the enrolment rates of women in both Arts and Medical facuties have gone down alike and in all other Faculties, except Engineering, the percentages have increased to over $40 \%$.

The changing patterns of female enrolment across Faculties such as higher enrolment in Science, Veterinary Science and Agriculure and the decline in Atts and Medicme may be treated as indicators of the direction towards moving away from stereotypes. Although this enromeant pattern shows a narrowing of gender differences in the Faculties of Science, Medicine, Dental Science. Veteriuary Science and Agriculture Faculties there is still a wide gap between enrolment of men and women in the Engineerng Faculty. This pattern of study choice among men and women is common wonld-wide. (Sivard :985)

According to a recent survey (see appendix 1) $98(51.8 \%$ ) femaie undergraduates on of 189 respondents prefer teaching as their future career. Moreover it was interesting to note that 4 Engmeering, it Agricuhure and 20 Science undergraduates selected teachng as their first career preference. Whie 157 ( $83.1^{\circ}$ ) of them selected people-oriented professions such as teaching. clerical. banking, medicine and related jobs, only 32 ( $16.9 \%$ ) undergraduates selected professions related to Engineering, Agriculture and business. The survey reports that 91 ( 48 : $\%$ ) Fmaie students out of $: 20$ respondents who are studying science subjects selected those subjects shice they like it. But only $20\left(106^{\circ} \%\right.$ students said that they like Maths 18 (a $\left.5 \%\right)$ sudents said that they are good in Scence disciptines

This survey shows the gender disparity in science onicated courses especially in Fngmeering is mainly based on lach of mterest in Maths and Physicai Sciences and the career aspuations of female undergraduates. The demand for science education in the University as an avenuc to economically rewarding occupations has also operated whin this nomative framework, snice women admitted to science courses are emrolled manty in Biological Sciences, Medicme and related courses. The pattern of euroment. therefore. reflects cultural attitades which favour the "femmine jobs" and reject technology as a maie subject On the other hand, it is also apparent that the Peradeniva University women are taking to hitherto "mascuine" professional areas with considerable success

## Gonder Wise Academic Achievements

There was a vast gap between the educational achievements of male and female students in Peradeniva a gap which has been narrowng slowty in recent years. Table IV shows that First Classes were obtained mainly by the male students in all the faculties and most of the Second Classes were obtained by the female students it is clear even in the faculty of Arts, where female students are almost half of the population, that there is a disparity between male and female students in respect of those who have been awarded First or Second Class Honours. This set of data shows that many female Arts students have obtained Second Classes, especially Second C lass (Iower Division) lowers

In the Faculty of Engmeering, where very few female students have enrolled. only 11 women have obtained First Classes as against 166 male students during the period 1964-1991 While 214 men obtained Second (Upper Division) Classes, only 13 women were able to gain the same results. This may be due to the small numbers of women undergraduates in the Faculty of
engineering. Out of the 11 women who gained First Class Honours 10 students studied Civil Engineering and only 1 studied Mechanical Engineering. During the years mentioned in Table IV no female student has obtained a First or Second Class (Upper Division Pass) in Electrical or Production Engineering, the courses being considered to be "masculine" fields.

Although the rate of women's new admissions has increased to over $40 \%$ in the Faculties of Medicine and Dental Science since the late 1970's, no female student has obtained First Classes in the above mentioned years. Only 7 women of the Science Faculty have been able to obtain First Classes throughout this period. Although there was a sudden increase of women's admissions in the faculties of Agriculture and Veterinary Science in the late 1980's, women's achievements in these Faculties have not shown much progress.

When one compares academic achievements such as scholarships, prizes and medals gained by female students with those gained by male students, again it can be seen that there is an imbalance between the two groups. Although we are unable to compare these achievements with the total student numbers of every faculty, it is clear that few women have received scholarships, prizes and medals in the years 1952-1990 (Table V). However, this table shows a slight increase of the number of such successful women since the early 1980's.

Table IV. Passes with class of all Faculties by sex 1954-1990

|  | ARTS |  |  |  | LAW |  |  |  | SCIENCE |  |  |  | MED \& DENT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 1ST CLA |  | 2ND CLA |  | 1ST CLA |  | 2ND CLA |  | 1ST CLA |  | 2ND CLA |  | IST CLA |  | 2ND CLA |  |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 1954 | 4 |  | 23 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 21 | 1 |  |  | 22 | 5 |
| 1955 | 3 | 2 | 16 | 11 |  |  | 1 |  | 6 | 0 | 14 |  | 1 |  | 34 | 11 |
| 1958 | 7 | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5 \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3 4 |  |  | 3 |  | 14 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & -6 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 3 |  | 36 | 11 |
| 1959 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7 \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 9 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 33 | 4 |
| 1960 | 3 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |  |  | 8 | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  | 32 | 9 |
| 1961 | 5 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  | 1 1 |  | 4 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 24 | 6 |
| 1962 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 8 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9 \\ & 17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 23 | 3 |
| 1963 | 8 | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|} 13 \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 2 |  | 14 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 27 | 7 |
| 1964 | 1 | 2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 24 \\ 32 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  | 1 1 |  | 6 | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 9 \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| 1965 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 7 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 25 | 3 |
| 1966 | 3 | 2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 10 \\ 33 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 7 | 2 |
| 1967 | 3 | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 23 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \\ & 16 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 10 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 21 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 24 | 16 |
| 1968 | 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  | 11 | 5 |
| 1985 | 4 | 2 | 21 <br> 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 10 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 5 | 8 |
| 1986 | 2 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 25 \\ 66 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 58 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 12 <br> 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 9 | 9 |
| 1990 | 4 | 2 | 28 <br> 58 | 78 <br> 43 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 15 <br> 19 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3 \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | 8 | 2 |


|  | ENGINEER |  |  |  | VET SC |  |  |  | AGRI |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots \mathrm{MR}$ | IST CLA |  | 2ND CLA |  | 1ST CLA |  | 2ND CLA |  | 1ST CLA |  | 2ND CLA |  |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 034 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |  | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| - 35 | 2 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 |
| \$58 | 4 |  | 6 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 0 |
| $5 \times 59$ | 4 |  | 14 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| $6 \mathrm{C60}$ | 6 |  | 9 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |
| $\$ 961$ | 7 | 1 | 10 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |
| 1962 | 6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |
| $1363$ | 9 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| 5964 | 7 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1965 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |
| $2966$ | 11 |  | 15 | 21 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| 1967 | 7 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 |  | . | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \\ & 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |
| 1985 | 23 |  | 21 63 | 2 <br> 8 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 19 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 28 |
| 1986 | 18 | 4 | 12 25 | 0 |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 26 |
| 1990 | 10 |  | 10 38 | 2 11 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | - |

Source - Data collected from convocation list (Examination Branch) of respective years, University of Peradeniya

## PERMANENT REFERENCE

FOR LSE IN THE
LIBriANTY ONLY

Table V. Scholarships, prizes \& medals obtained by students by sex \& Faculties 1952-85


Source: Data collected from annual reports of University of Peradeniya \& convocation list of respective years.

## Participation of Female Students in Extra-Curricular Activities

When we look at the early years of the University one can see that male as well as female students participated in extra curricular activities in a satisfactory manner. In the 1940's the membership of most of the Faculty societies related to major fields were made compulsory. The Second Annual Report of the Council of the University says:
"most of the societies have continued with full vigour and King George's Hall has been in considerable demand for student activities. These social functions are an essential part of University life and the Board of Residence and Discipline is glad to encourage them." (Annual Report 1943).

The Annual Reports of the 1950's and 1960's also give us similar information about students' extra curricular activities. Until the late 1960's female students had participated in elected student bodies. A few had worked as Vice-Chairperson, Junior Treasurer and Magazine Editor and Assistant Secretary of the Peradeniya Student Union (PSU). Some had become committee members and some were quite active in the PSU elections. After the earty 1970's, the female students have not been visible in the student union which has been dominated by male students. Although one notes a few female committee members, no woman held any important positions in the Student Union (see Table VI). For example between 1982 and 1990 among members elected to the Student Assembly from 6 faculties there were two women who were committee members and no women held office as PSU office bearers (Annual Report 1982). This has become the usual pattern of women's participation in student bodies. Our survey shows that at present, 120 ( $63.5 \%$ ) female students do not participate in any kind of extra curricular activities and only $50(30.7 \%)$ of them participate in some form of extra activities. From among them only $5(2.6 \%)$ have said that they participate in student union activities and in student politics without facing any difficulties.

Table VI. Representation in student assembly 1979-1992

|  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |  | 1983 |  | 1992 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| POSITION | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |
| CHAIRMAN | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| V; CHAIRMAN | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| SECRETARY | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| J: TREASURER | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |

Source: Data collected from the student welfare branch, University of Peradeniya.
According to the Annual Reports the early years of the University, there were 82 registered student's unions (Annual Report 1992) in various fields and in the 1960's the number of unions increased to over 100. Of these unions, the Union Society, the Dramatic Society, the Travel Society, the Rover Crew, the Buddhist Brotherhood, the Hindu Students Movement, the Catholic Students Society, the Students Christian Movement, the Music Society and the Arts Council were well-organised and women had participated in various activities. Many of these unions published magarines, discussed matters with the Vice-Chancellor and the Deans, made suggestions to improve the Faculties and conditions in the University. Some organised inter-hall matches, debates, competitions, day and vacation tours, received students from foreign universities, produced dramas, organised cultural events such as Melas, Buddhist devotional singing and Christmas Carols. According to the annual reports of the University, women participated in all these activities, they
arranged parties, made decorations, helped the staff with certain student activities and edited magazines.

However, most of these societies and their activities disappeared mainly after the 1970's. The Annual Report of 1992 shows that at present, the Peradeniya University has only 45 registered unions. Today except for a few religious societies most of the other societies are dysfunctional and women are mostly inactive. Currently student societies organise only get-togethers and farewell parties, an annual trip and Buddhist devotional singing and female students too participate in these activities. Recently when the Buddhist Brotherhood Society started collecting money and other necessities for the refugees in the North-Eastern Provinces, the female students especially at Ramanathan and Sangamitta Halls played an important role. It shows, that female students are quite keen on religious and welfare activities, but they are not willing to take leadership roles.

The Annual Reports of the University show that until the late 1960's the Music Society, Art Council, the Ghandarva Sabha, and the Sangeetha Natya Sangam were very active and they organised various concerts and festivals. In those days large numbers of female students were members of these societies and especially women's residential halls played a bigger role than the men's hall in the sphere of music. The Fourteenth Annual Report of the University (1955) which refers to the report of the Warden of Hilda Obeysekara Hall Miss. K. Mathiaparanam states:
> " the students of Hilda Obeysekara Hall find music and dancing a delightful form of relaxation and seem to derive much pleasure from this form of entertainment. Miss Kitty Hay has contributed much in this connection, for she generously consented to teach "square dancing", in the Hall. Her classes progressively increased in frequency and numbers and square dancing has certainly attracted a large number of students" (Annual Report 1955).

Similarly, in several Annual Reports, Dr (Mrs.) Ram Florence Aluvihare, then Warden of Sangamitta Hall, and Mrs. Vajira Cook, then Warden of James Peris and later of Ramanathan Hall have also mentioned activities such as fresher's concerts, drama competitions, sing songs, dancing and music classes and entertaming musicians (Annual Reports 1956, 1957).

According to Prof. E.R. Sarachchandra:
"in those days women students were devoted to the arts, were more sensitive to beauty and to the finer points in a work of art".

He says:
"Women were, in general, more appreciative of music than the men students".
He also mentions the fact that the female Wardens encouraged extra-mural activities of the residential halls and did nothing to obstruct them except when the students went against the rules of the Halls. He has appreciated the co-operation given by Miss Mathiaparamam and Dr (Mrs) Ahuvihare.

Some of the women students who took part in the cultural activities initiated by Prof. Sarachchandra are continuing their activities even now. They have made a contribution to the culture of our country from the inspiration and the enthusiasm they derived when they were at the Peradeniya University. Prof. Sarathchandra has mentioned the names of Trilicia Gunawardana, Malinie Ranasinghe, Anula Abeysinghe, Eva Ranasinghe, Lanka Bandara, Nandita Sarathchandra Jayanthi de Silva and Hemamali Gunasingha as outstanding women in the field of drama during this time at Peradeniya.

In a recent study done by Dr D.P.M. Weerakkody (Weerakkody 1992) on "Music at Peradeniya" reference has been made to the cultural activities organised by the Hindu Student Society, the Sangeetha Natya Sangam, the Students Christian Movement and the Newman Society.

Prof K.N.O. Dharmadasa in a study of "The Peradeniya Contribution to Literature Theatre and the Arts" expresses the following views on university cultural activities:
"The fifties and sixties were thus the peak period of the university's contribution to the
island's culture. Thereafter was a period of steady decline, and artistic activity in the
universities at Peradeniya as well as the others that came into existence from 1958-59
never reached the same levels" (Dharmadasa 1995).
After the 1970's one can see only very few cultural activities in Peradeniya and women's participation also decreased along with this deterioration due to the lack of opportunities. Still the interest of women in drama and music is visible in the University of Peradeniya. Some female students participated in "street theatre" (veedi natya) performances produced by Gamini Haththotuwegama or in stage plays produced by him and Prof. Ashley Halpe. However, in these activities also the Arts Council faces many difficulties such as funding and training. The Buddhist Brotherhood the Art Council and the Gandarava Sabha organise the annual Buddhist devotional singing, even with some difficulties and some female students participate in them. The annual Christmas Carol service has been discontinued. The Arts Council has been unable to organise the annual drama festival in 1995. The Arts Council nowadays shows less interest in cultural activities, being only concerned with profit and loss (Weerakkody 1992).

This decline of the cultural activities in the University of Peradeniya is confirmed by our survey results. It shows that only $9(4.8 \%)$ female students participated in music and related activities, $5(2.6 \%)$ in drama, and $16(8.5 \%)$ in the activities of religious societies. This evidence shows the dearth of active female participants in cultural activities in recent years. Today cultural activities at Peradeniya depend on the sacrifices made by a few teachers such as Prof Ashley Hahpe, Prof. K N O Dharmadasa, Dr D P M Weerakkody, Dr W M Gunatilake, Mr Gaminie Haththotuwegama, Mrs Sumangala Dharmadasa and Mrs Lalitha Gunawardane. Although they spend time to train and motivate students, some experience shows the different attitudes of the students. Recent social, political, cultural and economic changes of the country and the administrative changes of the University have prevented women students from participating in drama and music related activities.

The University of Peradeniya is provided with a gymnasium and play grounds with all facilities. According to the information given by the Director of Physical Education ${ }^{3}$ male as well as female students are willing to participate in games, and inter-university matches. Both men and women practice together and they are quite co-operative. The instructor of Physical Education says that there was/is no dearth of women's participation in sports

However, when we looked at the contribution of the office bearers of the Sports Council of the University, it is clear that there has been male domination throughout this period (Table VII). No female student has become the President or the Vice-President of the Sports Council, and on rare occasions they have come up to the position of the Editor of the Sports Magazine. A few women have become Secretaries and most women have served rarely as committee members. Even the active sportswomen's duties were limited to organising parties, decorating, serving and preparing food, which are considered to be feminine jobs. The data pertaining to the years 1970 , 1980, and 1990's show that most of the time women were given the leadership positions in the food committee, the editorial board, the social committee and the decoration committee, in organising the annual colours night.

Table VII . Women in Sports Council. 1978-1991

|  | 1978 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 197 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 198 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 1981 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 198 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 1985 |  | 1986 |  | 1988 |  | 1990 |  | 1991 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POSITION | M | F |  | F |  | F | M | F |  | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| PRESIDENT | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| V.PRESIDENT | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| SECRETARY | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| J:SECRETARY | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| EDITOR | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| COM:MEMBERS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |

Source: Data collected from the sports council magazines of respective years, University of Peradeniya

When one examines the number of students who received colours in recent years one can see a disparity between men's and women's achievements. Although it is difficult to compare these with total numbers of sportswomen due to the lack of data, this disparity is clearly visible in mixed sports. Table VIII shows that fewer women have obtained colours compared to men. The remarkable feature in this field is that there were only two Muslim women in this field throughout this period.

Table VIII . Students, who received colours by sports and sex. 1977-91

|  | 1977/78 |  |  | 1978/79 | 1984/85 |  | 1990/91 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME OF SPORTS | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| ATHLETICS | FULL 7 <br> HALF 2 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 2 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2 \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |
| BADMINTON(MEN \& WOMEN | FULL 3 HALF 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 8 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| BASKET BALL (MEN \& WOMEN | FULL 5 HALF 2 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 8 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \hline 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| CRICKET | FULL 12 HALF 5 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 10 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 10 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| FOOT BALL | FULL 9 HALF 4 |  | $9$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 13 \\ 8 \end{array}$ |  |
| HOCKEY (MEN \& WOMEN | FULL12 $\text { HALF } 2$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 12 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |
| NET BALL | FULL HALF | 9 |  | 7 2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9 \\ & \hline 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| RUGGER | FULL 19 HALF |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 16 \\ 6 \end{array}$ |  |
| RUGBY | FULL 7 HALF 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TABLE TENNIS (MEN \& WOMEN | FULL 5 HALF 4 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline 7 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \hline 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| VOLLEY BALL (MEN \& WOMEN) | FULL 9 HALF 4 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 6 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 13 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 6 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| WEIGHT TRAINING | FULL 5 HALF 4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | 3 5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & \hline 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| WRESTLING | FULL 6 HALF 5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} 9 \\ 2 \end{array}$ |  |

Source: Data collected from records of the Gymnasium, University of Peradeniya.

The information gathered from Peradeniya graduates through interviews and questionnaires gives the impression that in the field of sports women had a successful period before the early 1970's. After that the situation has changed due to various reasons. In the fifties and sixties women participating in sports were given a lot of encouragement, recognition and support by the University authorities and the staff. The Annual Reports of the Wardens of the women's halls of the University demonstrate their interest in women's sports in their halls and in the needs of women who represented the University and obtained University Colours. They seem to have organised inter-hall matches, as well as matches between seniors and freshers within the halls of residence. Perhaps there is no reason to believe that the present day female undergraduates are less talented and less enthusiastic in sports. Their interest has been depressed by numerous extraneous factors. According to previous Peradeniya students, who graduated in the 1960's, the standard of sports was very much higher in those days than at present and it may be due to the admission criteria which prevailed, where at the viva voce due weightage was given to those who showed talent in sports and other extra-curricular activities. However this criteria prevails even now and the change must be due to the changes of the life styles of the women undergraduates.

The University sports teams in the 1950's and the early 1960's included in their ranks all Ceylon athletes and even national record holders. Due place was given to women athletes. Sportswomen such as Nirmala Dissnayake, Nilmini Alwis and Shanthi de Abrew had won national titles while at the University and thereby brought fame to the University. Lalitha Nagasinghe and Hilary Perera too had won national and open titles in badminton, and the de Saram sisters, Sheila and Dorothy were national tennis players. Dorothy De Saram had the distinction of becoming the first Instructress in Physical Education at the Peradeniya University and she was succeeded a couple of years later by Shanthi de Abrew. Their contribution towards the development of the sports programmes of the University was noteworthy. Shanthi De Abrew later rejomed the University as the Director of Physical Education.

Except very few women athletes such as Damayanthi Wicremasinghe in 1975, nobody came up to the national level in the late 1970's and 1980's. Today it is seldom that one can find a University sportswoman coming up to national standards. Our survey results show that 75 (39.7\%) women participate in sports at the level of residential halls but only 5 of them (2.6\%) participate in university teams.

At present women are treated on par with men as far as cultural activities and sports are concerned but there are certain social factors which prevent the present set of students from spectacular performances in the field of sports. A large number of female students, after they finish lectures for the day, rush off to the markets or to the halls of residence to cook meals, sometimes not only for themselves, but also for their boy friends. Of course this change is not only due to social factors among the university students such as family and high school backgrounds of the present day undergraduates, and the system of competitive examinations, but also due to political and economic reasons.

## The Residential Life of Female Students

The University of Peradeniya has seven Halls of Residence for men, three women's Halls and two houses for Buddhist monks. Until the 1960's, in the Halls of Residence, there were only one or two students in a room. Until 1979 three meals with evening tea were provided for a subsidised fee. Facilities such as laundering clothes, supply of hot water, and special meals for the sick were provided to students. Most of the women's Halls had a music room, piano, sports goods and other recreational facilities. Until the 1960 's women and men students led relatively comfortable lives in the university. They enjoyed university life very much, especially the residential life provided for the students with opportunities to participate in extra-curricular activities.

University annual reports of the $1950^{\prime}$ s and 1960's show that the female students were interested in Hall activities, and most of the time they had elcetions to select members of hall committees. This is an indication of women's interest and willingness in patticipating in hall administration. In those days the hall committee and the student sub-wardens helped the hall adminitration. Thes organised oricutation programmes, inter-hall matches, matches between freshmen and serior muscal evenings drama festivals and competitions sing songs melas baila competions dehats cano Sires, get-fogethers, religions activities, and many other activitics
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University annual reports of the 1950's and 1960's show that the female students were interested in Hall activities, and most of the time they had elections to select members of hall committees. This is an indication of women's interest and willingness in participating in hall administration. In those days the hall committee and the student sub-wardens helped the hall administration. They organised orientation programmes, inter-hall matches, matches between freshmen and seniors, musical evenings, drama festivals and competitions, sing songs, melas, baila competitions, debates, camp fires, get-togethers, religious activities, and many other activities. Similarly women in the residential halls paid attention to academic activities such as inviting scholars, political leaders and local as well as foreign public figures organising academic discussions, high table dimners to distinguished visitors, and also publishing magazines, e.g. Thunapaha of Sangamitha hall

A report written by Miss Mathiyaparanam on Hilda Obeysekara Hall gives us an insight to the situation that prevailed in the female halls at that time.
"The informal musical evenings, concerts and sports helped to establish a homely and happy atmosphere right from the beginning in life at the hall...." (Annual Report 1956).

However after the 1970's the standards of the halls deteriorated rapidly. After the late 1960's, the university no longer provided accommodation to all the students. As a result students were compelled to reside unofficially in the halls and it created various problems relating to food, hygiene, facilities and discipline too. Since there was no plan to increase the halls of residence in the mid 1970's the university decided to accommodate three students in one room; (four or five in "box rooms"). Facilities like toilets, water and electricity were not sufficient for the crowded halls. In the late 80 's and 90 's the university began to buy or lease out large houses and converted them into female hostels. (for example A 45 and A 7 and Tiverton Hostels). Some of them do not have adequate facilities for women and some are quite far from the university. Because of this over crowding of halls, there has been a serious decline in standards of cleanliness and a deterioration of physical amenities.

The cafeteria system introduced in the Halls of Residence in 1979 has created more problems especially for women undergraduates. It caused a sudden change in the lives of the students resident in the Halls. After the abolition of the system of providing meals, most of the women undergraduates began to prepare all three meals for themselves. This cuts into their time and the women especially do not have enough time for their academic activities as the women before the 1970's.

Also, since there are no facilities in their rooms or in any other place in the halls, cooking creates many problems relating to water and electricity. Cleanliness of rooms, toilets and wash rooms are a perennial problem. Stray cats and dogs and monkeys are an added nuisance. Another consequence is that women students cannot find time to participate in extra curricular activities.

After the 1970's the hall administration too was changed in the face of new challenges. The university started recruiting part-time Academic Wardens to look after the hall management. They were drawn from the senior female faculty members and the selection was mainly done on the basis of seniority and not on the basis of their administrative abilities or the time they can sacrifice for the hall management. The Academic Wardens are quite busy with full-time work viz lecturing, attending workshops and seminars, researching and sometimes doing their post-graduate studies. Therefore they do not have sufficient time to attend to the day to day hall administration and to organise various extra-curricular activities as the full time Wardens did in the 1970's. Unlike in those days, the Wardens and the Sub-wardens today face new challenges regarding hall administration. The number of students has increased rapidly, e.g. in the early 1970's there were about 295 students resident in Sangamitta hall and in 1995 the number rose to 660 , with the
building of four cluster houses behind the main hall. But still facilities for the students of the hall are inadequate and no new facilities have been given to the Wardens officially. The hall office is sometimes unable to attend to the students' requirements.

Especially after the 1980's, due to over crowding, financial constraints, the lack of skilled workmen - carpenters masons and phumbers - lack of supervision, wastage, the indifference of some administrative officers, especially in the divisions of maintenance, electricity and gardening, and the carelessness and the selfishness of some students, the upkeep of the Halls of Residence has become a very difficult task. Although one cannot expect the standards of the 1950's and 1960's, some Wardens have been trying to make the environment more attractive and pleasant, especially in respect of the student extra-curricular activities, discipline, cleanliness and the garden. Although this does not need much in terms of funds, many difficulties have been experienced in respect of support from officials in related fields and motivation of the students as well as the minor employees of the Halls. Moreover though we organise an annual orientation programme - freshers welcome, freshers variety shows, a "banna", drawing a raffle, farewell party and year-end pirith ceremony - many constraints such as lack of funds, lack of willingness of the women students and lack of other resources have been faced.

While there are serious constraints regarding the organisation of certain hall activities, it is also disappointing to note that most of the female undergraduates of the halls do not seem to show much interest in extra-curricular activities. Unlike in the past, many female students are unwilling even to be members of the hall committee. Therefore, the Wardens have to keep persuading them to join such activities. Although very few female students sacrifice their time, money and energy on hall activities, many do not even like to spend a few minutes to participate in them. Moreover, politics creeping into female halls has created problems, especially factionalism based on political ideologies with negative effects on the harmony and the integration of the hall community. As a result, interest of female students in extra activities has been decreasing. Our survey shows that only $42(22.2 \%)$ female students out of 189 respondents participate in hall activities. The female students have given various reasons for their lack of participation and the following reasons have been mentioned by about $147(78 \%)$ of the respondents viz.: not sufficient time; competitiveness in examinations, heavy content of syllabuses and recent political problems, reluctance to be active in non-academic activities due to opposition from parents; economic reasons and attitudes arising from problems regarding an uncertain future.

While $104(55.1 \%)$ female students have mentioned that they were not satisfied with the hall facilities, $77(40 \%)$ have said that they were satisfied with the present situation. The main reasons for dissatisfaction are uncleanliness, frequent shortage of water and power the fact that wardens or sub-wardens do not have a close relationship with the students, (almost all the respondents residing in a certain hall have mentioned that they have never seen the Warden, and know her only by name). There also appears to be a generation gap between certain Wardens and full time Sub-wardens.

The differences in the attitude to work among the minor employees of the Halls has become a major reason for the deterioration of the hall administration. They are not willing to work as they did in the 1950 's and 60 's and most of them are interested in getting more over-time payments. Even in the early 1970's they were quite active and they took pride in their work. They have become lethargic after the abolition of the system of providing meals, and their attitudes and interest in work have changed due to the changing politics especially in the trade union politics in the university.

Thus, mainly due to social, political and economic changes of the country in the 1970's, the lives of the female as well as male students of the halls have changed rapidly. The university authorities have been unable to find solutions for this deterioration and this has become more and more complicated since they are busy with the day to day administration.

## Female Academics in the University of Peradeniya

Occupational segregation and "soft" subject choices affect Peradeniya women not only as learners but also as teachers. Table IX shows that from the 1950's to 1980's, a few women were given Faculty positions especially in the "masculine" fields such as Engineering. In the Arts and the Medical and Dental Faculties more women were given new appointments, but even in those Faculties women were not proportionately represented in terms of the female population. There was a remarkable disparity in the numbers of new appointments between male and female faculty members in the Science, Agriculture, Veterinary Science and Engineering Faculties until the late 1970's.

Table IX. New appointments to the Academic Staff by Faculties \& sex. 1948-82

|  | ARTS |  | SCIEN. |  | AGRIC. |  | ENGIN. |  | MEDI. <br> \& DEN. |  | VET. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SCI. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Annual reports of University of Peradeniya from respective years data collected from the Academic Establishment Branch of the Senate House, University of Peradeniya.

However, Table $X$ shows that the overall share of women in Faculty employment has been increasing very slowly after the late 1960's. In 1955 only $8.3 \%$ of women were given new appointments from all the Faculty positions and in 1983 it has come up to $34.2 \%$. However, Table XI shows that (according to the statistics of 1991) still women of all the Faculties of Peradeniya amount to only $25.5 \%$ of the total. Except for the Engineering Faculty, the women in other faculties hold $25 \%$ to $35 \%$ of teaching positions. The lowest percentage can be found in the faculty of Engineering - $14.4 \%$ in 1991.

When we consider the ranks of faculty members the same Table shows us that the Peradeniya women have lost some ground with respect to rank and they are clustered at the lower end of the academic ladder. The 1991 data shows that there is not a single woman grade I professor in any Faculty and there are only 6 women grade II professors to 37 male professors. These 6 women are attached to the Faculties of Arts (3), Medicine (2) and Veterinary Science (1). According to this Table a large number of women hold lower academic ranks. Except on rare occasions, the percentage of women who obtaimed promotions to higher grades of the academic staff has been quite minimal. But in 1982 there was a sudden increase (Table XII).

Table X . Long-term new appointments (Academic Staff) of all Faculties by sex \& percentage of women 1948-84

| YEAR | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | FEMALE \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1948 | 31 | 2 | 33 | 6.1 |
| 1952 | 30 | 3 | 33 | 9.1 |
| 1954 | 14 | 3 | 17 | 17.6 |
| 1955 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 8.3 |
| 1956 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 7.7 |
| 1957 | 23 | 3 | 26 | 11.5 |
| 1958 | 16 | 1 | 17 | 5.9 |
| 1959 | 31 | 3 | 34 | 8.8 |
| 1961 | 30 | 1 | 31 | 3.2 |
| 1962 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 16.7 |
| 1963 | 19 | 5 | 24 | 20.8 |
| 1964 | 35 | 5 | 40 | 12.5 |
| 1966 | 15 | 1 | 16 | 6.2 |
| 1968 | 63 | 20 | 83 | 24.1 |
| 1972 | 18 | 4 | 22 | 18.2 |
| 1979 | 52 | 16 | 68 | 23.5 |
| 1980 | 27 | 11 | 38 | 28.9 |
| 1982 | 24 | 10 | 34 | 29.4 |
| 1983 | 25 | 13 | 38 | 34.2 |
| 1984 | 57 | 26 | 83 | 31.3 |

Source: Annual reports of respective years, University of Peradeniya. Data collected from the Academic Establishments Branch, Senate House, University of Peradeniya.

Usually the type of job and field has a relationship to the proportion of registered women undergraduates in the faculty. Of course it makes sense that in those fields in which women are most heavily represented, they should be more heavily represented in Faculty positions. But in Faculties like Arts and Medicine too, female share of faculty positions is not proportionate. When one discusses the reasons for the vast gender disparity in other Faculties, it can be seen that women have not entered various fields in great numbers, whether by choice or due to lack of opportunity, until recently, and that it will take more time for them to progress through the system.

There are some who feel that the difference is not caused by discrimination, but rather by the market's reaction to choices made by women about their careers. Using a human capital accumulation model Johnson and Stafford (Johnson 1974) attribute the gap to women's tendency to devote fewer hours to work, to spend less time in academic activities, and to interrupt their careers to look after their children. According to this theory, over the course of their careers, women continue to be less involved. Thus economists in the human capital tradition argue that it is largely a matter of choice, whereas sociologist attribute it to sex role socialisation and institutional barriers. Both explanations have merit and there is much support to prove these theories from the university of Peradeniya.

## Table XI . Updated positions of permanent staff (Academic) as at 21st May 1991, by Faculties and sex

|  | Prof.Gr.1 |  | Prof. Gr 2 |  | Assoc.Prof. |  | Sen. Lec.Gr I |  | Sen.Lec Gr II |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| Arts | 63 |  | 8 | 3 | 16 |  | 32 | 4 | 23 | 9 |
| Science | 2 |  | 12 |  | 3 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 10 | 3 |
| Agriculture | 3 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 25 | 8 |
| Engineering | 5 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 16 | 2 |
| Medicine | 3 |  | 4 | 2 | 3 |  | 11 | 5 | 13 | 8 |
| Dental Science | 1 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4 |
| Veterinary <br> Medicine | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 |

Table XI . Contd.

|  | Lecturer |  | Asst.Lect. |  | Teach. Asst |  | Total |  | Female \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| Arts | 23 | 9 | 9 | 5 |  |  | 122 | 46 |  | 37.7 |
| Science | 10 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 49 | 18 |  | 26.9 |
| Agriculture | 25 | 12 |  |  | 6 |  | 10 | 21 |  | 23.0 |
| Engineering | 25 | 2 | 11 |  | 16 | 10 | 83 | 14 |  | 14.4 |
| Medicine | 8 | 6 |  | 1 |  |  | 42 | 22 |  | 34.4 |
| Dental Science | 6 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 19 | 7 |  | 26.9 |
| Veterinary <br> Medicine | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 16 | 9 |  | 36.0 |

Source: Data collected from the Academic Establishments Branch, University of Peradeniya

The contribution made by the women academics at Peradeniya, to academic as well as nonacademic activities are also low. Table XIII shows that very few women have contributed to academic activities and the women's percentage of this contribution has been increasing slowly after the 1980's. This disparity is again mainly due to the small numbers of women academics in the higher ranks. Even some women academics who are in the higher ranks have not contributed in the same way as the men in the same rank. This is mainly due to the social and cultural constraints that women face in Sri Lanka

Table XII . Promotions to higher grades of Academic Staff by Faculties \& sex 1952-82

| YEAR | ARTS | SCIE. | AGRI. | ENGI. | MEDI. | DEN. |  | VET.SCI |  | TOT. | F \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| 1952 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 19 | 1 | 5.3 |
| 1959 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 13 | 1 | 7.7 |
| 1962 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  | 14 | 1 | 7.1 |
| 1963 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 26 | 1 | 3.8 |
| 1967 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 3 | 7.7 |
| 1972 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 42.8 |
| 1979 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 21 | 1 | 4.7 |
| 1982 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 25 | 13 | 52 |

Source: Annual reports of respective years, University of Peradeniya.

Table XIII. Contributions made by the Faculty Members to the research activities(recognised publications only) by Faculty \& sex. 1943-85

| YEAR | ARTS |  | SCIE. |  | AGRI. |  | ENGI. |  | MEDI. |  | DEN. |  | VET.SCI |  | TOT. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \% \\ & \hline \mathbf{M} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| 1943 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 24 |  | 0 |
| 1948 | 22 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 39 |  | 0 |
| 1952 | 80 | 2 | 32 | 0 |  |  | 6 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 176 | 2 | 1.1 |
| 1955 | 72 | 0 | 18 | 0 |  |  | 7 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 18 | 0 | 165 |  |
| 1959 | 107 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 47 | 1 |  |  | 17 | 0 | 217 | 6 | 2.8 |
| 1962 | 102 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 72 | 0 |  |  | 21 | 0 | 231 | 3 | 3.1 |
| 1963 | 97 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 191 | 4 | 2.1 |
| 1967 | 25 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 9 | 0 |  |  | 140 | 2 | 1.4 |
| 1972 | 71 | 1 | 41 | -2 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 22 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 168 | 3 | 1.8 |
| 1979 | no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 99 | 7 |  |  | 45 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 55 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 97 | 11 | 85 | 3 | 93 | 5 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 28 | 3 | 411 | 37 | 9 |

So far no woman has become a Chancellor, Vice-Chancellor or a Dean of a Faculty of the University of Peradeniya. The Tables XIV and XV illustrate the Women's percentage of the University Council and the Senate, the highest decision making bodies of the University, is also minimal. Similarly, the percentage of women in important committees such as the Finance Committee, Lands and Buildings Committee, and the Board of Welfare is also very small (Table. XVI). But the data of the last decade show (Table XVII) that although the percentage is small a few women have become Heads of Departments. The Faculty of Engineering which is a male dominated faculty has a woman heading the department of Chemical Engineering.

Table XIV . Women in the Senate of University of Peradeniya (1954-1995)

| YEAR | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F} \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1954 | 13 | 1 | 7.7 |
| 1955 | 13 | 1 | 7.7 |
| 1960 | 13 | 1 | 7.7 |
| 1961 | 13 | 1 | 7.7 |
| 1965 | 13 | 1 | 7.7 |
| 1968 | 50 | 2 | 4.0 |
| 1984 | 53 | 1 | 1.9 |
| 1990 | 86 | 5 | 5.8 |
| 1991 | 86 | 9 | 10.5 |
| 1995 | 104 | 18 | 17.3 |

Source: Annual Reports of the University of Peradeniya from respective
(The changes of the members were only mentioned)
.Table XV. _Women in the Council of University of Peradeniya (1952-1995)

| YEAR | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F} \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1955 | 9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1960 | 9 | 1 | 11.1 |
| 1964 | 19 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1979 | 19 | 2 | 5.0 |
| 1980 | 19 | 2 | 10.5 |
| 1983 | 19 | 2 | 10.5 |
| 1990 | 19 | 2 | 10.5 |
| 1991 | 21 | 0 | 10.5 |
| 1994 |  | 0.0 |  |
| 199 |  | 0.0 |  |

Source: Annual Reports of the University of Peradeniya, from respective years (The changes of the Council members were only mentioned)

Table XVI. Women in important committees of University of Peradeniya (1979-1984)*

THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

| Year | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F} \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| 1980 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| 1983 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| 1984 | 14 | 1 | 7.1 |

THE LANDS, BUILDINGS \& MAINTENANCE COMMITTEE

| Year | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F} \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 7 | 0 |
| 1980 | 13 | 0 |
| 1982 | 14 | 0 |
| 1983 | 14 | 0 |
| 1984 | 14 | 0 |

BOARDS OF WELFARE

| Year | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F} \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 11 | 1 | 9.1 |
| 1980 | 13 | 1 | 7.7 |
| 1982 | 18 | 2 | 11.1 |
| 1983 | 18 | 2 | 11.1 |

Source: Annual Reports of University of Peradeniya - from respective years.

* Only the Annual reports where data is available.

Table XVII. The distribution of male female Heads of the Departments by Faculties - 1982-92

| YEAR | ARTS |  | SCIE. |  | AGRI. |  | ENGI. |  | MEDI. |  | DEN. |  | VET.SCI |  | TOT. |  | F \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| 1982 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 1 | 43 | 4 | 8.5 |
| 1985 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 33 | 2 | 5.7 |
| 1987 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 0 |  |  | 7 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 43 | 7 | 14 |
| 1988 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 51 | 5 | 8.9 |
| 1989 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 49 | 7 | 12.5 |
| 1992 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 51 | 8 | 15.7 |

Source: Annual reports of the University Of Peradeniya from respective years.

## Conclusion

This brief study shows that the female students enrolment and attainment rates in the University of Peradeniya have been increasing and that the new fields or "masculine" fields of study have become more open to women. Despite the gains in enrolment and number of degrees
awarded, there is still a significant difference between men and women in the major fields of study. There is a large gap between men and women, especially in the Faculty of Engineering. However, there has been a substantial narrowing of the gap between men and women even in this Faculty in recent years.

After the 1970's, there was a sudden decrease in student participation in extra-curricular activities. Simultaneously, the deterioration of the standards of the Halls of residence, and a decrease in hall activities too began in the 1970's and has declined in a rapid manner. Consequently, the lives of the female students of the halls of Residence, too, have changed in various ways.

The percentage of women holding faculty positions was very low at the beginning of the University but after the 1960's, it has been increasing. Still a large disparity is visible between the male and female ranks. The data on the contributions made by female and male academics to academic as well as non-academic activities also show a big difference.

The causes contributing to the disparity between male and female students as well as between male and female teachers need investigation. 167 ( $88.41 \%$ ) female students out of 189 respondents mentioned at least 3 of these constraints, and believed that they are in a disadvantaged position merely because they are women. The following constraints are the most noteworthy among them:-

## 1 Gender specific constraints

1.1 The freedom of female students of the university is limited due to the restrictions imposed by not only parents, brothers and boy friends but also by the "educated" community in the university.
1.2 Especially in Engineering and Agriculture, female undergraduates find practical work in industry and in the field to be very rigorous and physically demanding.
1.3 Reluctance to meet male teachers for further discussions on difficult subjects due to negative attitudes and various rumours prevailing in the university.
1.4 Due to cultural barriers, and gender role assumptions, there is a lack of opportunity to have more open and flexible relationships with the society, especially with the male colleagues. Therefore $29 \%$ of the respondents believe that their general knowledge is quite limited and this impacts negatively on their academic activities and on their leadership potential.
1.5 Male domination - $59 \%$ of respondents believe that they are discriminated and they are treated differently mostly by male colleagues. For example female students are not given sufficient opportunities to express their own ideas at the students meetings; even if they do express their ideas, boys consider these as unimportant and condemned them. Unnecessary influence (relating to love affairs, personal jealousies, beauty and modern dress) on female students, and physical and verbal (baduwa, yaka) sexual harassment.
1.6 Insufficient time - $41 \%$ respondents said that they do not have enough time to do reference work in order to write tutorials and also to do any extra activities. They believe that for a woman it takes more time to take care of her hair, face and clothes, and even more time is taken for cooking, cleaning the room and clothes.
2.1 Some rules and regulations of the Halls of Residence - for example female students should obtain permission (late passes) from the Warden or the Sub-wardens if they go out after $7 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. are thought to irksome, although they can get permission to stay out until 9.30 p.m. Many female respondents (52\%) said that this regulation hinders their participation in academic as well as non-academic activities.
Especially Medical students said that the late pass system has become an unnecessary burden on them when they go to hospital for clinical studies at night. Similarly, $48 \%$ of respondents said that they are unable to attend discussions (kuppi) related to various difficulties in their subjects usually held at male residences until late at night, prior to examinations.

Constraints relating to Political factors.
$3.149 \%$ of respondents mentioned that they are afraid to take any leadership role in the university activities. $21 \%$ of women said they do not want to attend any "political" meetings, strikes or marches in the university as they know how university students were killed, beaten and treated in a very bad manner by the government forces during the recent political unrest (1987-89) in the island.
$4.123 \%$ of respondents said that poverty affects them to a great degree - Although some obtain "Mahapola" scholarships they send Rs. 200/= to Rs. 300/= monthly to their homes and are unable to buy necessary text-books or photo-copies. Sometimes the library has one or two copies of the recommended text books or sometimes it doesn't have up to date books b) Most of the Arts Faculty respondents - (39\%) mentioned that they are much older than the usual university students and at this age they should be independent and have their own earnings. According to most respondents, due to their fear of entering an "uncertain future" they were unable to concentrate on their studies.

Constraints relating to psychological factors.
5.1 Most of the women consider themselves weak, sensitive, flexible, not good at mathematics and technology. They also feel that they do not have practical knowledge of machinery. Lack of leadership and courage to face and solve problems were other issues that they were concerned with. Other constraints
6.1 Lack of proficiency in English language and lack of self confidence to raise questions on academic matters during the lecture, tutorial and practical classes. Since there is a belief among male and female students that women should not try to be a "Pora" (leader) in the class.
6.2 Lack of opportunity to have close relationships with teachers - $37 \%$ of respondents expressed that neither the university authorities nor the student leadership take any interest in having healthy relationships with teachers. They believe healthy student-teacher relationships promote each other's understanding and it enhances their knowledge. They are quite hesitant to have relationships with teachers due to the "fixed notions" among the student community. According to some respondents, during their "rag" season, they were threatened by their seniors not to respect teachers.

One needs to note that only $22 \%$ of respondents believe that they are not disadvantaged because of their feminine status. At interviews carried out by the author with 19 female faculty members, similar constraints were mentioned by them. They particularly noted following extra reasons:

1) Multiple role - All women believe that especially after marriage they should spend at least 8 to 10 years for child bearing and rearing. Multiple roles hinder them in their post graduate studies, research and extra activities.
2) Attitudes of male colleagues - Many male colleagues look upon women not as academic colleagues but as "female colleagues". Therefore, even with all the qualifications most of the men do not consider women as members of the "boys club". Therefore, female academics do not always get information about seminars, work-shops, scholarships and other extra activities. This makes women under-represented in these activities.

## Suggestions To Improve The Situation

1) The University should organise compulsory gender sensitisation programmes.
2) To organise seminars, workshops and vacation programmes with female students of high schools and the umiversity to make them aware of the experiences and achievements of successful women-role models, and to give them information about selecting subjects and career prospect opportunities.
3) To establish a separate women's unit in the University to help female students to solve their problems, to motivate female students to be more courageous, to promote the relationships between teachers and students, to organise welfare programmes for female students and to provide more opportunities in research activities, mainly relating to women's issues.
4) To introduce "co-operative learning" systems to promote student's relationships and to improve their knowledge on subject matter etc.
5) a) The university authorities should pay more attention to extra-curricular activities b) especially more teachers should be involved in those activities of the students c) to give more recognition to students and teachers who participate or play leadership roles in extra curricular activities.
6) a) To introduce a subsidised co-operative system to provide meals in Halls of Residence b) to have open discussions and opinion polls to find out female students' attitudes regarding rules and regulations of the halls and to change them according to the changing social moves of society c) to have long term permanent solutions for the accommodation problem.
7) To create a more democratic political environment in the University.
8) To create long-term plans to absorb university graduates into the development programs of the country.
9) To establish day care centres, Montessori school and a shopping centre in the University premises.

## References

Annual Report, 1982 . University of Peradeniya
Annual Report, 1992 . University of Peradeniya
de Silva, K.M. (et.al) (eds.) 1995. The University system of Sri Lanka : vision and reality, MacMillan, India.

Dharmadasa, KN.O. 1995. "The contributions to literature, theatre and the arts" In K.M. de Silva (et. al) (eds.), The University system of Sri Lanka: vision and reality, MacMillan, India, 88 141145.

Fifteenth Annual Report of the University Council, 1956. University of Ceylon.
Fourteenth Anmual Report of the University Council, 1955. University of Ceylon.
Golden Jubilee Souvenir (1942-92), 1992. University of Ceylon/University of Peradeniya.
Gumawardana, C., 1989. "Participation of women in higher education in Sri Lanka", Paper presented at the First National Convention, CENWOR, Colombo.

Gunawardane, RA.L.H, (eds.) 1992. More Open Than Usual, University of Peradeniya.
Jayaweera, S., 1979. "Women and Education", In Status of Women in Sri Lanka, University of Colombo. pp 298-302.

Johnson, G.E. and Stafford, F.E., 1974. 'Eamings and promotions of family women", American Economic Review, 64: 888-903.

Newland, Kathleen, 1979. The Sisterhood of man, W.W. Norton \& Co., New York.
Second Anmual Report of the Council, 1943. University of Ceylon.
Sivard, RL., 1985. Women: A world survey, World priorities, Washington D.C.
Sixteenth Annual Report of the University Council, 1957. University of Ceylon.
UN decade for women's progress and achievements of women in Sri Lanka, 1989. CENWOR, Colombo.

United Nations. The world's women, trends and statistics, (1970-1990), 1991, United Nations, New York.

Weerakkody, D.P.M., 1992. "Music at Peradeniya; In R.A.L.H. Gunawardana (eds.) More Open Than Usual, University of Peradeniya, PP 144-154.

## Appendix

A questionnaire was distributed among 200 female students in all seven faculties and 189 students responded. Thirteen questions were included and they were mainly on the reasons for selecting their majors, attitude to their subjects, the problems faced by female students - gender specific constrainis -, their lives in the halls of residence, problems they see at the halls, their suggestions to improve the present situations in the halls of residence, about their extra-curricular activities and the female student counselling service.

The distribution of respondents by Faculties and academic year

| Year/Faculty | 1 st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arts | 15 | 16 | 16 | 22 |  | 69 |
| Science | 6 | 5 | 8 | 8 |  | 27 |
| Agriculture | 5 | 4 | 8 | 7 |  | 24 |
| Engineering | 7 | 4 | 1 | 7 |  | 19 |
| Medicine | 8 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 23 |
| Dental Science | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | 17 |
| Veterinary Science | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 17 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 189 |  |

The students were selected randomly. Of these except for four students the rest were residing in the three main female hostels, Wijewardena, Ramanathan and Sangamitta. The students were selected from all academic years and Faculties in proportion to the percentage of female students in the respective Faculties.

